

# 513 HW3

October 2024

## 1 Problem 3.2 Humphreys

First assume  $L$  is solvable. Since  $[L^{(i)}, L^{(i)}] \subseteq L^{(i+1)}$ , the quotient  $L^{(i)}/L^{(i+1)}$  is abelian, so we may choose the derived series to be chain in question. Conversely, assume there exists such a chain. Notice that  $L^{(1)} \subset L$  since  $L_0/L_1$  abelian implies that  $[L, L] \subset L_1$ . In a similar way, if  $L^{(i)} \subset L_i$ , then  $L^{(i+1)} \subset L_{i+1}$ , so by induction  $L^{(i)} \subset L_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence,  $L^{(n)} = 0$ , so  $L$  is solvable.

## 2 Problem 3.6 Humphreys

Suppose  $I, J$  are nilpotent ideals. By induction, one can show that

$$(I + J)^n \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^n I^i \cap J^{n-i},$$

where  $I^0 = J^0 = L$ . So clearly  $I + J$  is a nilpotent ideal as well.

## 3 Problem 3.7 Humphreys

Since  $K$  is a subalgebra of  $L$ , we have  $\text{ad}_K$  acting on the quotient vector space  $L/K$ . Since  $K$  is a proper subalgebra,  $L/K \neq 0$ , so there exists some vector  $v \notin K$  such that  $[K, v] \subseteq K$ . That is,  $v \in N_L(K)$ , so  $K \subset N_L(K)$ .

## 4 Problem 3.10 Humphreys

Suppose  $(L/K)$  is nilpotent, say  $(L/K)^n = 0$ . This means  $L^n \subseteq K$ . Since  $\text{ad}_x|_K$  is nilpotent for all  $x \in L$ , it follows that  $\text{ad}_x|_{L^n}$  is nilpotent for all  $x \in L$ . Therefore, by Engel's theorem,  $L$  is nilpotent.

## 5 Problem 4.3 Humphreys

One can check that  $[x, y] = x$  and that the eigenvectors of  $y$  are the canonical basis vectors. Also, none of these is an eigenvector of  $x$  (the action of  $x$  corresponds to shifting entries).

## 6 Problem 5.1 Humphreys

By Corollary 3.3 in Humphreys, there exists a basis of  $L$  such that  $\text{ad}_L \in \text{End}(L)$  consists of strictly upper triangular matrices. So for  $x, y \in L$ , we have  $\text{ad}_x$  and  $\text{ad}_y$  both strictly upper triangular and thus  $\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y$  is strictly upper triangular nilpotent. Hence,  $\kappa(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y) = 0$ .

## 7 Problem 5.5 Humphreys

We have

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{ad}_h = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ad}_e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ad}_f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis  $\{e, h, f\}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . We compute the Killing form using this. For instance

$$\kappa(e, f) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_e \text{ad}_f) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 4.$$

We find  $\kappa(h, h) = 8, \kappa(e, f) = 4, \kappa(f, e) = 4$  and all the others are 0, so the matrix of  $\kappa$  with respect to this basis is

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa(e, e) & \kappa(e, h) & \kappa(e, f) \\ \kappa(h, e) & \kappa(h, h) & \kappa(h, f) \\ \kappa(f, e) & \kappa(f, h) & \kappa(f, f) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the basis dual to  $\{e, h, f\}$  is given by  $\{\frac{1}{4}e, \frac{1}{8}h, \frac{1}{4}f\}$ .

## 8 Problem 5.8 Humphreys

Let  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_t$ , where  $x_i \in L$ . Apply the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition to each  $x_i$  to write  $x_i = x_i^s + x_i^n$  with  $x_i^s$  semisimple and  $x_i^n$  nilpotent. Since  $[x_i, x_j] = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , it follows that  $\text{ad}_{x_i}$  and  $\text{ad}_{x_j}$  commute. Thus  $\text{ad}_{x_i^s}$  and  $\text{ad}_{x_j^s}$  commute and  $\text{ad}_{x_i^n}$  and  $\text{ad}_{x_j^n}$  commute. Hence  $x_1^s + \dots + x_t^s$  is semisimple and  $x_1^n + \dots + x_t^n$  is nilpotent. By the uniqueness part in Jordan-Chevalley we can conclude that  $x_s = x_1^s + \dots + x_t^s$  and  $x_n = x_1^n + \dots + x_t^n$ .